# Reading Recovery (K-12 Tutoring)

### Program description:

Reading Recovery is a structured early literacy tutoring intervention for struggling readers, typically in first grade. The program was developed in New Zealand and has been implemented and evaluated in other countries, including the United States. Teachers trained in Reading Recovery techniques provide the tutoring.

Typical age of primary program participant: 6

Typical age of secondary program participant: N/A

Meta-Analysis of Program Effects

		IVICIA	Allalys	13 01 1 1	Ograin L	-116613					
Outcomes Measured	Primary	No. of	Unadjusted Effect Sizes			Adjusted Effect Sizes and Standard Errors					
	or Second-	Effect Sizes	(Randoi	m Effects	s Model)		Used in	the Bene	fit-Cost	Analysis	
	ary Partici- pant						st time ES estimated	is	Se	cond time estimate	
	pant		ES	SE	p-value	ES	SE	Age	ES	SE	Age
Test scores	Р	6	0.48	0.09	0.00	0.34	0.09	7	0.18	0.05	17

**Benefit-Cost Summary** 

	Program Benefits			Costs	Summary Statistics			cs		
The estimates shown are present value, life cycle benefits and costs. All dollars are expressed in the base year chosen for this analysis (2011). The economic discount rates and other relevant parameters are described in Technical Appendix 2.	Partici- pants	Tax- payers	Other	Other Indirect	Total Benefits		Benefit to Cost Ratio	Return on Invest- ment	Benefits Minus Costs	Probability of a positive net present value
acconded in recining in appoint in a	\$11,983	\$4,410	\$0	\$2,210	\$18,603	-\$1,895	\$9.82	10%	\$16,708	100%


**Detailed Monetary Benefit Estimates** 

	Detailed Monetary Deficit L3	umates						
	Benefits to:							
Source of Benefits	Partici- pants	Tax- payers	Other	Other In- direct	Total Benefits			
Earnings via test scores	\$11,983	\$4,410	\$0	\$2,210	\$18,603			

### **Detailed Cost Estimates**

					-			
The figures shown are estimates of the costs	Program Costs		Comparison Costs			Summary Statistics		
to implement programs in Washington. The comparison group costs reflect either no							Present Value of	
treatment or treatment as usual, depending	Annual	Program	Year	Annual	Program	Year	Net Program Costs (in 2011	Uncertainty
on how effect sizes were calculated in the	Cost	Duration	Dollars	Cost	Duration	Dollars	dollars)	(+ or – %)
meta-analysis. The uncertainty range is used in Monte Carlo risk analysis, described in Technical Appendix 2.	\$1,853	1	2010	\$0	1	2010	\$1,895	20%

Source: Reading Recovery is provided for 12 to 20 weeks for 1/2 hour per day, five days per week. We assumed an average of 16 weeks of tutoring with one hour of training. We use average teacher salaries (including benefits) in Washington State to compute the value of tutors' time.



## Multiplicative Adjustments Applied to the Meta-Analysis

Type of Adjustment	Multiplier
1- Less well-implemented comparison group or observational study, with some covariates.	1.00
2- Well-implemented comparison group design, often with many statistical controls.	1.00
3- Well-done observational study with many statistical controls (e.g., instrumental variables).	1.00
4- Random assignment, with some implementation issues.	1.00
5- Well-done random assignment study.	1.00
Program developer = researcher	0.5
Unusual (not "real-world") setting	0.5
Weak measurement used	0.5

The adjustment factors for these studies are based on our empirical knowledge of the research in a topic area. We performed a multivariate regression analysis of 61 effect sizes from evaluations of tutoring and parent involvement programs (many parent involvement programs are tutoring-based). The analysis examined the relative magnitude of effect sizes for studies rated a 1, 3, or 4 for research design quality, in comparison with a 5 (there were no level 2 studies; the Technical Appendix describes these ratings). We weighted the model using the random effects inverse variance weights for each effect size and included the type of outcome and program as control variables. The results indicated that research designs 1 through 4 should have a multiplier equal to a 5.

## Studies Used in the Meta-Analysis

- Iversen, S., & Tunmer, W. E. (1993). Phonological processing skills and the Reading Recovery program. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85(1), 112-126.
- Pinnell, G. S., DeFord, D. E., & Lyons, C. A. (1988). Reading recovery: Early intervention for at-risk first graders. Arlington, VA: Educational Research Service. (ERIC Document Reproduction Service No. ED 303790)
- Pinnell, G. S., Lyons, C. A., DeFord, D. E., Bryk, A. S., & Seltzer, M. (1994). Comparing instructional models for the literacy education of high-risk first graders. *Reading Research Quarterly*, 29(1), 9-39.
- Schwartz, R. M. (2005). Literacy learning of at-risk first-grade students in the reading recovery early intervention. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 97(2), 257-267.